A cognitive linguistic analysis of Khmer imperative particles phoon and coh

Kanako Mori

In Khmer, one of the most common ways of making imperative sentences is by placing so-called sentence final particles at the end of affirmative sentences. This paper focuses on two sentence final particles *phoon* and *coh*. Both of these particles have been described as expressing "imperative meaning" by previous researchers, particularly Ueda(2002). However, the differences between these particles have not been explicated.

In light of this, the goal of this paper is to shed light on the subtle distinction between the particles *phoon* and *coh* and provide a principled account for their distribution. Specifically, we will argue that the grammaticalized meaning conveyed by these particles follows naturally from their respective more concrete usages (cf. Hopper and Traugott 1993).

Let us first take a look at the grammaticalized usages of the two particles. Although the two particles are used in "imperative" sentences, there is a subtle difference. While *phoon* is used with the connotation of "begging a favor", *coh* is used in the sense of "permission".

(1) cuoj phoon

help "Help me !"

(2) tèv somraak coh

go rest "(You may) take a rest"

We claim that the above-mentioned grammaticalized usages (1) and (2) respectively stem from the more concrete usages (3) and (4):

(3) knom nam baaj phoon mool tuureetoh phoon

I eat dinner watch TV "I ate dinner, and watched TV, too"

(4) mèe nuh coh pii lèe con chèe

woman that from on top tree "That woman **got down** from the top of the tree" With regard to phoon, the original function arguably has been "adding something parallel to the context", and its functional shift to the imperative particle can be explained as in (5):

(5) Functional shift from ADDITIVE to IMPERATIVE of phoon

ADDITIVE [A phoon B phoon('A, B, too')]:

 $accessible \ parallel \ element \ is \ explicitly/implicitly \ present \ in \ the \ context$

parallel element becomes inaccessible

IMPERATIVE [B phoon('B!')]:

exclusively focusing on the element immediately preceding it

As for *coh*, the original usage has presumably been a directional verb meaning "go down" having undergone metaphorical extension(cf. Lakoff and Johnson 1980) and acquired the imperative usage as a sentence final particle as in (6):

(6) Path of Metaphorical extension of coh

verb: "spatial movement from upper place (generally house) to lower one (ground)" generalized to the movement from one's own sphere to outside

particle: "relieving one's control on the addressee's act"

Based on these observations, we propose that the two particles convey different pragmatic meanings as in (7):

(7) *phoon* is used when the speaker wants the hearer to focus on the activity, while *coh* is used when the speaker releases the restraint on the hearer's activity.

Our analysis thus has been successful in disentangling the subtle semantic or pragmatic differences between the two sentence final "imperative" particles: phoon and coh. This paper further suggests the importance of investigating the way of grammaticalization as it can help us distinguish the differences clearly between apparently synonymous grammaticalized words.

REFERENCES

- Hopper, Paul J. and Elizabeth Closs Traugott. 1993, rep. 2003. *Grammaticalization*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lakoff, George, and Mark Johnson. 1980. *Metaphors we live by.* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Ueda, Hiromi. 2002. "Kumeru-go no Bunmatsu-shi (Khmer final sentence particles) ", Takagaki et. Als. (ed.) *Gogaku-kenkyujyo Ronsyu (Journal of the Institute of Language Research)* No. 7, The Institute of Language Research,